## School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template

Instructions and requirements for completing the SPSA template may be found in the SPSA Template Instructions.

| School Name | County-District-School (CDS) Code | Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date | Local Board Approval Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marysville Charter Academy for the Arts | 58-72736-5830138 |  |  |

## Purpose and Description

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

Schoolwide Program
This plan will increase student achievement in mathematics overall and will also target students for extra help in mathematics. It should also increase parent involvement.

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.
The MCAA Single Plan for Student Achievement aligns with the goals of the MCAA Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which is aligned with state and federal priorities and mandates, including those of the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). The long-term goal of eliminating the learning gap and improving learning outcomes for all students with additional attention focused on all subgroups for the improvement of Mathematics. To meet our site LCAP goals and ESSA requirements and to support learning for all students, this year we will continue to focus on Mathematics and increasing parent involvement--this is essential as we are a performing arts charter school, as well as a college preparatory school and we need family help to meet our goals.

## Educational Partner Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update
N/A

## Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Enrollment <br> Enrollment By Student Group

| Student Enrollment by Subgroup |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Percent of Enrollment |  |  | Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| American Indian | 0.3\% | \% | 0.28\% | 1 |  | 1 |
| African American | 1.6\% | 0.87\% | 0.55\% | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| Asian | 7.2\% | 7.29\% | 7.73\% | 27 | 25 | 28 |
| Filipino | 1.6\% | 2.04\% | 1.93\% | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 38.9\% | 38.48\% | 34.25\% | 145 | 132 | 124 |
| Pacific Islander | 0.8\% | 1.17\% | 1.38\% | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| White | 41.0\% | 37.03\% | 36.74\% | 153 | 127 | 133 |
| Multiple/No Response | 8.3\% | 7.58\% | 5.8\% | 31 | 26 | 21 |
|  | Total Enrollment |  |  | 373 | 343 | 362 |

## Student Enrollment

 Enrollment By Grade Level| Grade |  | Student Enrollment by Grade Level |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Students |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ |  |
| Grade 7 | 60 | 51 | 66 |  |
| Grade 8 | 69 | 60 | 60 |  |
| Grade 9 | 68 | 62 | 64 |  |
| Grade 10 | 66 | 58 | 52 |  |
| Grade 11 | 60 | 59 | 64 |  |
| Grade 12 | 50 | 53 | 56 |  |
| Total Enrollment | 373 | 343 | 362 |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Enrollment is on the rise again after a dip in 21-22.
2. Not shown here is that enrollment as of $4 / 9 / 24$ reveals 7 th grade with 69,8 th grade with 74 , 9 th grade with 63,10 th grade with 54 , 11th grade with 50 and 12th grade with 58 for a total of 368 .
3. Our hope is that the larger numbers listed in 7 th and 8 th in conclusion 2 hold close and our overall numbers continue to grow closer to our district limit of 400 students.

## School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment
English Learner (EL) Enrollment

| English Learner (EL) Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Number of Students |  | Percent of Students |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ |
| English Learners | 20 | 23 | 20 | $5.40 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |
| Fluent English Proficient (FEP) | 1 | 2 | 7 | $0.3 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) | 88 | 86 | 80 | $23.6 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 1} \%$ | $22.1 \%$ |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our number of EL students and FEP students remain pretty consistent.
2. The percentage of students FEP remain consistent within $1.8 \%$
3. We reclassified a huge number of students in the 22-23 year and also 5 more students thus far in the 23-24 school year.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 7 | 60 | 48 | 67 | 59 | 47 | 66 | 58 | 47 | 66 | 98.3 | 97.9 | 98.5 |
| Grade 8 | 66 | 60 | 59 | 60 | 60 | 57 | 59 | 60 | 57 | 90.9 | 100.0 | 96.6 |
| Grade 11 | 59 | 57 | 59 | 56 | 57 | 59 | 56 | 57 | 59 | 94.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| All Grades | 185 | 165 | 185 | 175 | 164 | 182 | 173 | 164 | 182 | 94.6 | 99.4 | 98.4 |

The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly |  |  | \% Standard Not |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 7 | 2565. | 2571. | 2566. | 17.24 | 25.53 | 13.64 | 46.55 | 27.66 | 51.52 | 20.69 | 29.79 | 24.24 | 15.52 | 17.02 | 10.61 |
| Grade 8 | 2566. | 2570. | 2594. | 15.25 | 16.67 | 31.58 | 33.90 | 38.33 | 33.33 | 33.90 | 28.33 | 21.05 | 16.95 | 16.67 | 14.04 |
| Grade 11 | 2630. | 2607. | 2644. | 37.50 | 26.32 | 38.98 | 41.07 | 35.09 | 32.20 | 5.36 | 21.05 | 23.73 | 16.07 | 17.54 | 5.08 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 23.12 | 22.56 | 27.47 | 40.46 | 34.15 | 39.56 | 20.23 | 26.22 | 23.08 | 16.18 | 17.07 | 9.89 |


| Reading         <br> Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts         <br> Grade Level  $\%$ Above Standard  \% At or Near Standard  \% Below Standard   $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ |  |
| Grade 7 | 24.14 | 27.66 | 24.24 | 62.07 | 61.70 | 68.18 | 13.79 | 10.64 | 7.58 |
| Grade 8 | 33.90 | 18.33 | 31.58 | 45.76 | 63.33 | 52.63 | 20.34 | 18.33 | 15.79 |
| Grade 11 | 33.93 | 31.58 | 37.29 | 57.14 | 54.39 | 59.32 | 8.93 | 14.04 | 3.39 |
| All Grades | 30.64 | 25.61 | 30.77 | 54.91 | 59.76 | 60.44 | 14.45 | 14.63 | 8.79 |


| Wroducing clear and purposeful writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  | \% At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ |
| Grade 7 | 22.41 | 34.04 | 16.67 | 62.07 | 42.55 | 65.15 | 15.52 | 23.40 | 18.18 |
| Grade 8 | 11.86 | 16.67 | 24.56 | 61.02 | 60.00 | 50.88 | 27.12 | 23.33 | 24.56 |
| Grade 11 | 35.71 | 24.56 | 32.20 | 50.00 | 54.39 | 55.93 | 14.29 | 21.05 | 11.86 |
| All Grades | 23.12 | 24.39 | 24.18 | 57.80 | 53.05 | 57.69 | 19.08 | 22.56 | 18.13 |


| Listening |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | $\%$ Above Standard |  | $\%$ At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ |
| Grade 7 | 13.79 | 17.02 | 13.64 | 79.31 | 76.60 | 75.76 | 6.90 | 6.38 | 10.61 |
| Grade 8 | 13.56 | 13.33 | 22.81 | 71.19 | 80.00 | 66.67 | 15.25 | 6.67 | 10.53 |
| Grade 11 | 16.07 | 17.54 | 25.42 | 75.00 | 77.19 | 66.10 | 8.93 | 5.26 | 8.47 |
| All Grades | 14.45 | 15.85 | 20.33 | 75.14 | 78.05 | 69.78 | 10.40 | 6.10 | 9.89 |


| Research/Inquiry         <br> Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information         <br> Grade Level  $\%$ Above Standard  \% At or Near Standard  \% Below Standard   $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 7 | 24.14 | 17.02 | 19.70 | 56.90 | 76.60 | 69.70 | 18.97 | 6.38 | 10.61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 8 | 16.95 | 23.33 | 36.84 | 72.88 | 65.00 | 52.63 | 10.17 | 11.67 | 10.53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 11 | 37.50 | 26.32 | 37.29 | 55.36 | 63.16 | 54.24 | 7.14 | 10.53 | 8.47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Grades | 26.01 | 22.56 | 30.77 | 61.85 | 67.68 | 59.34 | 12.14 | 9.76 | 9.89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. We are on the right track with our reading scores.
2. Our writing scores are pretty consistent, although it looks like we need to target our current below standard 8th graders.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> Mathematics (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 7 | 60 | 48 | 67 | 57 | 47 | 65 | 55 | 47 | 65 | 95.0 | 97.9 | 97.0 |
| Grade 8 | 66 | 60 | 59 | 62 | 60 | 57 | 62 | 60 | 57 | 93.9 | 100.0 | 96.6 |
| Grade 11 | 59 | 57 | 59 | 55 | 56 | 59 | 54 | 56 | 59 | 93.2 | 98.2 | 100.0 |
| All Grades | 185 | 165 | 185 | 174 | 163 | 181 | 171 | 163 | 181 | 94.1 | 98.8 | 97.8 |

* The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly |  |  | \% Standard Not |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 7 | 2531. | 2533. | 2526. | 18.18 | 12.77 | 16.92 | 18.18 | 25.53 | 10.77 | 30.91 | 29.79 | 36.92 | 32.73 | 31.91 | 35.38 |
| Grade 8 | 2524. | 2541. | 2533. | 16.13 | 10.00 | 14.04 | 16.13 | 26.67 | 19.30 | 20.97 | 23.33 | 29.82 | 46.77 | 40.00 | 36.84 |
| Grade 11 | 2571. | 2566. | 2610. | 14.81 | 5.36 | 22.03 | 12.96 | 25.00 | 18.64 | 33.33 | 30.36 | 28.81 | 38.89 | 39.29 | 30.51 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 16.37 | 9.20 | 17.68 | 15.79 | 25.77 | 16.02 | 28.07 | 27.61 | 32.04 | 39.77 | 37.42 | 34.25 |


| Concepts \& Procedures <br> Grade Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Above Standing concepts and procedures |  | \% At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 - 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 - 2 3}$ |
| Grade 7 | 16.67 | 12.77 | 18.46 | 55.56 | 55.32 | 44.62 | 27.78 | 31.91 | 36.92 |
| Grade 8 | 18.33 | 13.33 | 12.28 | 45.00 | 56.67 | 56.14 | 36.67 | 30.00 | 31.58 |
| Grade 11 | 14.81 | 14.29 | 23.73 | 40.74 | 44.64 | 47.46 | 44.44 | 41.07 | 28.81 |
| All Grades | 16.67 | 13.50 | 18.23 | 47.02 | 52.15 | 49.17 | 36.31 | 34.36 | 32.60 |

Problem Solving \& Modeling/Data Analysis
Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems

| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 7 | 16.36 | 14.89 | 16.92 | 63.64 | 59.57 | 66.15 | 20.00 | 25.53 | 16.92 |
| Grade 8 | 14.52 | 13.33 | 15.79 | 50.00 | 51.67 | 45.61 | 35.48 | 35.00 | 38.60 |
| Grade 11 | 12.96 | 12.50 | 22.03 | 72.22 | 64.29 | 57.63 | 14.81 | 23.21 | 20.34 |
| All Grades | 14.62 | 13.50 | 18.23 | 61.40 | 58.28 | 56.91 | 23.98 | 28.22 | 24.86 |


| Communicating Reasoning <br> Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| Grade 7 | 14.55 | 10.64 | 12.31 | 69.09 | 68.09 | 66.15 | 16.36 | 21.28 | 21.54 |
| Grade 8 | 12.90 | 8.33 | 10.53 | 61.29 | 78.33 | 59.65 | 25.81 | 13.33 | 29.82 |
| Grade 11 | 14.81 | 5.36 | 18.64 | 64.81 | 75.00 | 69.49 | 20.37 | 19.64 | 11.86 |
| All Grades | 14.04 | 7.98 | 13.81 | 64.91 | 74.23 | 65.19 | 21.05 | 17.79 | 20.99 |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. $1 / 3$ of our students are below standard in Concepts \& Procedures.
2. $1 / 4$ of our students are below standard in Problem Solving \& Modeling/Data Analysis
3. $1 / 5$ of our students are below standard in Communicating Reasoning

## School and Student Performance Data

## ELPAC Results

| ELPAC Summative Assessment Data <br> Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Overall |  |  | Oral Language |  |  | Written Language |  |  | Number of Students Tested |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| 7 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 4 | 7 | 4 |
| 8 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 8 | * | 5 |
| 9 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 5 | 5 | * |
| 10 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| All Grades |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 16 | 12 |

Overall Language
Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students

| Grade Level | Level 4 |  |  | Level 3 |  |  | Level 2 |  |  | Level 1 |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| 7 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 8 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 9 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 10 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| All Grades | 55.00 | 50.00 | 16.67 | 40.00 | 25.00 | 75.00 | 5.00 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.33 | 20 | 16 | 12 |


| Oral Language <br> Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Level 4 |  |  | Level 3 |  |  | Level 2 |  |  | Level 1 |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| 7 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 8 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  |
| 9 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  |
| 10 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  |
| All Grades | 70.00 | 56.25 | 50.00 | 30.00 | 37.50 | 41.67 | 0.00 | 6.25 | 8.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20 | 16 | 12 |


| Written Language <br> Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Level 4 |  |  | Level 3 |  |  | Level 2 |  |  | Level 1 |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| 7 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 8 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 9 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 10 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| All Grades | 30.00 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 45.00 | 25.00 | 50.00 | 25.00 | 50.00 | 41.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.33 | 20 | 16 | 12 |


| Listening Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Well Developed |  |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  |  | Beginning |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| 7 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 8 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 9 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 10 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| All Grades | 25.00 | 6.25 | 8.33 | 75.00 | 93.75 | 83.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.33 | 20 | 16 | 12 |


| Speaking Domain <br> Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Well Developed |  |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  |  | Beginning |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| 7 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 8 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 9 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 10 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| All Grades | 85.00 | 87.50 | 91.67 | 15.00 | 12.50 | 8.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20 | 16 | 12 |


| $\begin{gathered}\text { Reading Domain } \\ \text { Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students }\end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Well Developed |  |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  |  | Beginning |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| 7 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 8 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 9 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 10 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| All Grades | 50.00 | 31.25 | 16.67 | 35.00 | 43.75 | 66.67 | 15.00 | 25.00 | 16.67 | 20 | 16 | 12 |


| Writing Domain <br> Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Well Developed |  |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  |  | Beginning |  |  | Total Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 | 20-21 | 21-22 | 22-23 |
| 7 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 8 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 9 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 10 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| All Grades | 20.00 | 12.50 | 8.33 | 80.00 | 81.25 | 83.33 | 0.00 | 6.25 | 8.33 | 20 | 16 | 12 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. $16 \%$ of students tested in $22-23$ were at the beginning level of Reading
2. $8 \%$ of students tested in $22-23$ were at the beginning level in both Listening and Writing
3. $8 \%$ of students tested in $22-23$ were in Level One for both Overall Language and Written Language

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Population

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

This section provides information about the school's student population.

2022-23 Student Population

| Total <br> Enrollment |
| :---: |
| 362 |

Total Number of Students enrolled in Marysville Charter Academy for the Arts.


Students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.


Students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.

Foster Youth

Students whose well being is the responsibility of a court.

| 2022-23 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Total | Percentage |
| English Learners | 20 | 5.5 |
| Foster Youth |  |  |
| Homeless | 1 | 0.3 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 201 | 55.5 |
| Students with Disabilities | 13 | 3.6 |


| Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Total | Percentage |
| African American | 2 | 0.6 |
| American Indian | 1 | 0.3 |
| Asian | 28 | 7.7 |
| Filipino | 7 | 1.9 |
| Hispanic | 124 | 34.3 |
| Two or More Races | 21 | 5.8 |
| Pacific Islander | 5 | 1.4 |
| White | 133 | 36.7 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. We are at $55.5 \%$ SED
2. Our three largest subgroups by race/ethnicity are White, Hispanic (36.7 and 34.3) and then Asian (7.7)

## School and Student Performance Data

## Overall Performance

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."


Red
Lowest Performance
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2023 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students

| Academic Performance |
| :---: |
| English Language Arts |

## Mathematics


Academic Engagement

| Conditions \& Climate |
| :---: |
| Suspension Rate |
| Green |

Chronic Absenteeism


Orange

College/Career
Medium

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our Chronic Absenteeism continues to be a problem. We are in the Orange.
2. Our Suspension rate and Mathematics scores are in the Green. We'd like them to be in the blue.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> English Language Arts

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."
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This section provides number of student groups in each level.

## 2023 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |

This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on either the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2023 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group



## Students with Disabilities

Less than 11 Students

6 Students

| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 11 Students <br> 2 Students | Less than 11 Students <br> 1 Student | 39.1 points below standard <br> Decreased Significantly 87.7 points <br> 14 Students | Less than 11 Students <br> 5 Students |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |
|  | Less than 11 Students | Less than 11 Students |  |
| 27.3 points above standard | 9 Students | 2 Students | 50.8 points above standard |
| Increased Significantly +32.6 points <br> 63 Students |  |  | Increased Significantly +29.3 points <br> 57 Students |

This section provides additional information on distance from standard for current English learners, prior or Reclassified English learners, and English Only students in English Language Arts.

2023 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners


| English Only |
| :---: |
| 47 points above standard |
| Increased Significantly +29.2 points |
| 116 Students |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our Hispanic subpopulation grew the most by 32.6 points, with our White subpopulation not far behind with a 29.3 point growth.
2. Our SED group grew by 17.6 points and over all we grew by 20 points.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> Mathematics

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."
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This section provides number of student groups in each level.
2023 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |

This section provides a view of how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2023 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group



## Students with Disabilities

Less than 11 Students

6 Students

| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 11 Students <br> 2 Students | Less than 11 Students <br> 1 Student | 62.1 points below standard Decreased Significantly 24.9 points 14 Students | Less than 11 Students <br> 5 Students |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |
| $\frac{7}{\text { Green }}$ | Less than 11 Students | Less than 11 Students | $\frac{7}{\text { Green }}$ |
| 53.6 points below standard | (udents | Students | 17.2 points below standard |
| Increased +14 points <br> 62 Students |  |  | Increased Significantly +26.1 points <br> 57 Students |

This section provides additional information on distance from standard for current English learners, prior or Reclassified English learners, and English Only students in mathematics

## 2023 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner |
| :---: |
| Less than 11 Students |
| 8 Students |
|  |


| Reclassified English Learners |
| :---: |
| 60.9 points below standard |
| Decreased -7.4 points |
| 15 Students |


| English Only |
| :---: |
| 20.6 points below standard |
| Increased Significantly +21.9 points |
| 115 Students |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our English Learners and Reclassified English Learners are not growing at a similar rate to our other students, and are in fact decreasing. Why?

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> English Learner Progress

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

## 2023 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator

| English Learner Progress |
| :---: |
| $54.5 \%$ making progress towards English <br> language proficiency |
| Number of EL Students: 11 Students <br> Performance Level: No Performance <br> Level |

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

## 2023 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results

| Decreased <br> One ELPI Level |
| :---: |
| 1 |


| Maintained ELPI Level 1, <br> 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H |
| :---: |
| 4 |


| Maintained <br> ELPI Level 4 |
| :---: |
| 0 |


| Progressed At Least <br> One ELPI Level |
| :---: |
| 6 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Almost an equal number of students decreased or maintained as progressed.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> College/Career Report

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."

This section provided information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.


This section provides number of student groups in each level.
2023 Fall Dashboard College/Career Equity Report


This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

| All Students | English Learners | Foster Youth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50.8 Prepared | Less than 11 Students | 0 Students |
| 61 Students | 1 Student |  |
| Homeless | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | Students with Disabilities |
| Less than 11 Students 3 Students | 47.4 Prepared | Less than 11 Students 1 Student |

## 2023 Fall Dashboard College/Career Reportby Race/Ethnicity



## Conclusions based on this data:

1. $50.8 \%$ of our graduating seniors were considered college or career ready at the end of the 2022-2023 school year.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."
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This section provides number of student groups in each level.
2023 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2023 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group


| Students with Disabilities |
| :---: |
| Less than 11 Students |
| 5 Students |
|  |
|  |

## 2023 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity

| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 11 Students <br> 2 Students | Less than 11 Students <br> 1 Student | 0\% Chronically Absent <br> 0 <br> 12 Students | Less than 11 Students <br> 2 Students |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |
| $\underset{\text { Orange }}{8}$ | 12\% Chronically Absent Increased 5.8 | Less than 11 Students | $\underset{\text { Orange }}{G}$ |
| 11.4\% Chronically Absent |  |  | 11.1\% Chronically Absent |
| Maintained 0.3 <br> 44 Students |  |  | Maintained 0.3 <br> 45 Students |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. We have brought our percentage of chronically absent students down below $10 \%$, but we need to continue bringing this number down.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement Graduation Rate

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."
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This section provides number of student groups in each level.

## 2023 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report



This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma.

2023 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate for All Students/Student Group

| All Students |
| :---: |
| Blue |
| $95.1 \%$ graduated |
| Maintained 0.2 |
| 61 Students |



Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
Students with Disabilities
Less than 11 Students
1 Student

## 2023 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Race/Ethnicity



| Hispanic |
| :---: |
| $100 \%$ graduated |
| Maintained 0 |
| 20 Students |
|  |


| Two or More Races |
| :---: |
| Less than 11 Students |
| 2 Students |
|  |
|  |


| Pacific Islander |
| :---: |
| Less than 11 Students |
| 2 Students |
|  |
|  |
|  |


| White |
| :---: |
| $92.9 \%$ graduated |
| Increased 1.2 |
| 28 Students |
|  |
|  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. We are very close to having $100 \%$ graduation rate.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Conditions \& Climate <br> Suspension Rate

The 2023 California School Dashboard provides parents and educators with meaningful information on school and district progress so they can participate in decisions to improve student learning.

The California School Dashboard goes beyond test scores alone to provide a more complete picture of how schools and districts are meeting the needs of all students. To help parents and educators identify strengths and areas for improvement, California reports how districts, schools (including alternative schools), and student groups are performing across state and local measures.

Performance on state measures, using comparable statewide data, is represented by one of five colors. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. This is represented using a greyed out color dial with the words "No Performance Color."
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This section provides number of student groups in each level.
2023 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 |

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2023 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group



## Students with Disabilities

$0 \%$ suspended at least one day

Maintained 0
15 Students

## 2023 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Race/Ethnicity

| African American |
| :---: |
| Less than 11 Students |
| 2 Students |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |


| American Indian |
| :---: |
| Less than 11 Students |
| 1 Student |
|  |
|  |
|  |


| Asian |
| :---: |
| 0\% suspended at least one <br> day |
| Maintained 0 |
| 29 Students |
|  |
|  |


| Filipino |
| :---: |
| Less than 11 Students |
| 7 Students |
|  |
|  |


| Hispanic |
| :---: |
| 0\% suspended at least one |
| day |
| Declined -0.7 |
| 132 Students |


| Two or More Races |
| :---: |
| Orange |
| 3\% suspended at least one |
| day |
| Increased 3 |
| 66 Students |


| Pacific Islander |
| :---: |
| Less than 11 Students |
| 5 Students |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |


| White |
| :---: |
| $1.5 \%$ suspended at least one |
| day |
| Maintained -0.1 |
| 137 Students |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our suspension rates are good.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Support engaging classroom instruction and rigorous curriculum through the purchase of classroom materials and equipment for underrepresented students.

## WASC Goal

Goal 1: Student achievement in mathematics will improve by 3\% annually. Goal 3: Increased focus of resources on students needing extra help--both struggling (low achieving) and students in Honors and AP classes.

## Goal 1

Provide learning opportunities that result in increased academic achievement and ensure quality classroom instruction for all students, including support systems which meet the needs of targeted populations.

## Identified Need

Based on 2022-2023 CAASPP scores and the California Dashboard, $1 / 3$ of our students are below standard in the mathematical area of Concepts and Procedures, $1 / 4$ are below standard in Problem Solving \& Modeling/Data Analysis, and 1/5 are below standard in Communicating Reasoning.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Assessments in Math for Overall as well as subgroups | 2023 Dashboard--Math <br> *Overall students: 32.1 points below standard <br> *Hispanic: 53.6 points below standard <br> *White: 17.2 points below standard <br> *Low SES: 53.7 points below standard <br> Growth from the previous year: <br> *Overall: increase 13.6 points <br> *Hispanic: increase 14 points <br> *White: increase 26.1 points <br> *Low SES: increase 12.1 points | Overall and each subgroup is expected to improve by at least 10 points on the California Dashboard. This assumes an average 3.3 point improvement each year. |
| IXL pre/post test each semester for 7 th and 8 th grade students. | Baseline to be established during the 2024-2025 school year. | 7th Grade: $65 \%$ of students at grade level 8th Grade: $68 \%$ of students at grade level |
| All students enrolled in a Math Support class will take a pre-test and a post-test in IXL each | The scores from Fall Semester 2024 will set our baseline. | All grade levels enrolled in Math Support: $55 \%$ of students at grade level. |

semester (based on the math class
in which they are enrolled).
Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

| Strategyl <br> Activity <br> $\#$ | Description | Students to be <br> Served | Proposed Expenditures |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Den |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ | Three math sections on the master schedule <br> to focus on intervention and to support <br> learning (Math Support). | Students in 7-12 <br> whose CAASPP <br> scores in Math are <br> below standard, 7th <br> and 8th grade <br> students whose IXL <br> pre diagnostic scores <br> are low. | 76,477.00 <br> Title I <br> Personnel Salaries |

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Support students' health and well-being through social/emotional curriculum, personnel, and other actions.

## WASC Goal

Goal 3: Create an environment that addresses the physical, emotional and safety needs of all students and staff.

## Goal 2

Create an environment that addresses the physical, emotional and safety needs of all students and staff.

## Identified Need

Since the COVID-19 pandemic, many of our students have struggled socio-emotionally and it has impacted students, parents, and staff. The students affected most, were, in many cases, our most needy, including our low-income, EL, and foster youth. The separation from normal human contact, the stress distance learning caused, and the learning loss resulting from this situation has elevated the need for SEL and support, even though school has been "back to normal" for two to three years. More of our students are seeking mental health support and parents are struggling with how to help them.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator | Baseline/Actual Outcome | Expected Outcome |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| California Healthy Kids <br> Survey/CoVitality Survey | 82\% of MCAA students scored the <br> school in the high range for caring <br> adults (school environment) in the <br> 2020/21 Healthy Kids Survey. | Maintain or improve the percentage <br> by 5\% of MCAA students scoring <br> our school in the high range for <br> caring adults. |
| Student Attendance | 95.5\% was the overall attendance <br> rate for MCAA students in 2022- <br> 2023. | $96 \%$ attendance or higher for the <br> $2024-2025$ school year. |
| Parent Engagement with ORCs | Baseline to be established in the <br> 2024-25 school year. | We expect to establish a baseline <br> number of parent-ORC interactions <br> $(250$ is our predicted outcome) and <br> of parents/students checking out <br> books from our ORC/Parent Libary <br> $(25$ is our predicted outcome). |

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

| Strategyl <br> Activity <br> \# | Description | Students to be <br> Served | Proposed Expenditures |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2.1 | Outreach Consultants (ORCs) will serve at <br> designated grade levels (7-9 and 10-12) as a <br> resource for students' academic and social- <br> emotional learning. These consultants will <br> work directly with the counseling department <br> to provide services and communicate to <br> parents to assist with student education. The <br> ORCs will work primarily with <br> underrepresented students and their families, <br> continuing to build the ORC/Parent Library <br> with resources for parents and their students <br> (Parent Involvement). | All, but with an <br> emphasis on <br> underrepresented <br> students. | 952.00 <br> Title I Parent Involvement <br> $4000-4999:$ Books And <br> Supplies |

## Budget Summary

Complete the table below. Schools may include additional information. Adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp, and/or that receive funds from the LEA for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI).

## Budget Summary

## Description

Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application

Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI

Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA

## Amount

## \$

\$
$\$ 77,429.00$

## Allocations by Funding Source

| Funding Source | Allocations | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Title I | 76,477 | 0.00 |
| Title I Carryover |  |  |
| Title I Parent Involvement | 952 | 0.00 |
| Title I Parent Involvement Carryover |  |  |

## Expenditures by Goal

| Budget Reference |  | Amount |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Goal 1 |  |  |
| Goal 2 |  |  |
| Goal 3 |  |  |
| Goal 4 |  |  |
| Goal 5 |  |  |
| Goal 6 |  |  |

## School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

## 1 School Principal

5 Classroom Teachers
1 Other School Staff
4 Parent or Community Members
3 Secondary Students

| Name of Members |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Eric Preston | Role |
| Mat Fridrich | Classroom Teacher |
| Todd DeVlaming | Classroom Teacher |
| Valeri Mathews | Classroom Teacher |
| Daniel Tejada | Classroom Teacher |
| Matt DeMeritt | Classroom Teacher |
| La Song | Parent or Community Member |
| Nesha Jones | Parent or Community Member |
| Maria Pinedo | Parent or Community Member or Community Member |
| Elizabeth Preston | Secondary Student |
| Rachel Tirado | Secondary Student |
| Garrett Granger | Secondary Student |
| Saihej Grewal |  |
| Miguel Silva |  |

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

## Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:
Signature
Committee or Advisory Group Name
Other: PTCO

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on .

## Attested:

Principal, Eric Preston on

